The Adaptive Self Personal Continuity and Intentional Self-Development

Edited by

Werner Greve Klaus Rothermund Dirk Wentura



The Aging Self: Shifting from Promoting Gains to Balancing Losses

ALEXANDRA M. FREUND Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois

NATALIE C. EBNER Max Planck Institute for Human Development, Berlin, Germany

With increasing age, preserving action resources and counteracting developmental losses may become dominant concerns in themselves as the basic vectors of intentional self-development shift from expansion or self-actualization toward the maintenance and defense of established self-definitions.

(Brandtstädter, 1999, p. 55f)

People learn who they are and about their environment through action. And, as Brandtstädter and Lerner (1999) put it, people construe representations of themselves and their environment, which will guide their future action and development. Adopting this perspective of an interplay between person, action, and development (see also Brandtstädter, 1998), we believe that the "aging self" can best be understood in

terms of changes in processes of interacting with the internal and external world. Such changes as how we view ourselves, the world, our wishes, goals, beliefs, and our actions occur in interaction with age-related changes in internal and external resources.

In accordance with Brandtstädter (1998, 1999), we argue in this chapter that resources play a central role in the interplay of the person with his or her environment. forming the "self" and representing the basis of development. Resources, denoting finite and limited commodities, serve as constraints for development. Constraints are not only limitations in that they exclude certain possibilities; they also specify boundaries defining developmental opportunities. In this sense, then, resources are similar to the term "affordances" by Gibson (1977). Affordances refer to the "actionable" properties between the world and a person. That is, affordances are defined as relationships that describe the action possibilities posed by the interaction between the environment and the person. For instance, a book on fishing can be used for reading. Obviously, however, the book can only be read by a person who knows how to read the respective language in which it is written. The content of the book might then improve the reader's knowledge about fishing and his or her fishing skills. In this way, the action of reading transforms affordances into capabilities and competencies. In this sense, then, constraints (e.g., that the book is written in a specific language and has a particular content) and action (e.g., reading, acting upon the knowledge provided in the book) are both essential for development. In fact, action never occurs in a vacuum but always in interaction with an object or a person. As pointed out by von Cranach (1991), actions can best be understood in the context in which they develop. Taking this perspective, the "self" is the interaction of a person with herself and her environment. This interaction can only be understood when both resources (as opportunities and constraints) and action are considered as two key elements of development. What develops when the "self" develops is the process of a person interacting with herself and her environment.

Let us now turn to the notion of action (see Greve, 2001, for an excellent theoretical discussion of the notion of "action" in psychology). In action theory, human behavior is seen as goal-directed and regulated by internal (e.g., cognitive) and external (e.g., social) factors (Brandtstädter, 1998; Ford, 1987). Action-theoretical perspectives espouse the basic assumption that actions are carried out by volitional agents; in fact, the very definition of actions is that they are intentional (see Brandtstädter & Greve, 1999, for a distinction of different aspects of intentions and their relation to the notion of "free will"). That is, in action theory persons are viewed as being able to intentionally shape their environment and determine their behaviors according to their goals. This, in turn, implies that persons can make plans as to how they can reach their goals and initiate, monitor, and change their behavior and even themselves (see also Carver & Scheier, 1999; Gollwitzer, 1996). Recently, Bargh and Ferguson (2000), however, have questioned this assumption of the person as a volitional agent possessing free will. Instead, they claim "social interaction, evaluation and judgment, and the operation of internal goals can all proceed without the intervention of conscious acts of will and guidance of the process" (p. 625).

According to the automotive more repeated activation in a given situat ronmental stimuli without the invesses. There is convincing empir Bargh & Ferguson, 2000). Automathe problem of a "free will" in the pire to become a concert pianist amprinciples can be used to explain provide will unnecessary.

In this chapter, however, we do complex issue of whether or not from a recent psychological discussion multifaceted construct and not all sions at all times (Brandtstädter & claiming that the existence of free cal, action-theoretical approaches suggest that the interesting and cri contribute to the setting and pursuit nomenon or not, it predicts commi suit (e.g., Brandtstätter, Lengfelde well be that the feeling of wanting an illusion, but it is a powerful on motivation (e.g., Ryan & Deci, 20 perspective of the person ("first pe is necessary for a comprehensive

In this chapter, we argue that per achieve, maintain, or avoid) and is sets, pursues, maintains, and abanderal and the "aging self" in particle level of individual development. If for themselves and consequently 1996). Following Hooker and Mc. motivational processes ("self-regulevels of analysis model of per narrative identities as the other two personal goals and motivational pagenda by Brandtstädter and his costädter & Greve, 1994; Brandtstäd Schmitz, 1998; Brandtstädter & V

In accordance with Brandtstäd self' can best be understood in terral goals and motivational processe ges in internal and external resourtional changes are.

ng with the internal and external world. he world, our wishes, goals, beliefs, and elated changes in internal and external re-

3, 1999), we argue in this chapter that reof the person with his or her environment. s of development. Resources, denoting fistraints for development. Constraints are tain possibilities; they also specify bouns. In this sense, then, resources are similar Affordances refer to the "actionable" . That is, affordances are defined as reties posed by the interaction between the book on fishing can be used for reading. read by a person who knows how to read n. The content of the book might then imand his or her fishing skills. In this way, es into capabilities and competencies. In ook is written in a specific language and eading, acting upon the knowledge proelopment. In fact, action never occurs in object or a person. As pointed out by von ood in the context in which they develop. eraction of a person with herself and her derstood when both resources (as oppornsidered as two key elements of develvelops is the process of a person inter-

ee Greve, 2001, for an excellent theoretisychology). In action theory, human beby internal (e.g., cognitive) and external ord, 1987). Action-theoretical perspecns are carried out by volitional agents; in ey are intentional (see Brandtstädter & spects of intentions and their relation to heory persons are viewed as being able determine their behaviors according to ns can make plans as to how they can change their behavior and even themollwitzer, 1996). Recently, Bargh and this assumption of the person as a voliey claim "social interaction, evaluation goals can all proceed without the interce of the process" (p. 625).

According to the automotive model by Bargh (1990), goals can be automatized by repeated activation in a given situation. Subsequently, goals can be triggered by environmental stimuli without the involvement of conscious choice or reasoning processes. There is convincing empirical support for this assumption (as provided by Bargh & Ferguson, 2000). Automatization of goal-pursuit, however, does not solve the problem of a "free will" in the original *setting* of a goal. Why does a person aspire to become a concert pianist and not a kindergarten teacher? Here, reinforcement principles can be used to explain preferences, again making the assumption of a free will unnecessary.

In this chapter, however, we do not want to attempt to solve the philosophically complex issue of whether or not free will exists (Greve, 1992; see Wegner, 2002, for a recent psychological discussion of this topic). Moreover, intentionality is itself a multifaceted construct and not all of its facets need to be conscious, deliberate decisions at all times (Brandtstädter & Greve, 1999). We evade this interesting debate by claiming that the existence of free will is not a necessary assumption for psychological, action-theoretical approaches to explaining and predicting behavior. Instead, we suggest that the interesting and critical question is what internal and external factors contribute to the setting and pursuit of goals and how. Whether volition is an epiphenomenon or not, it predicts commitment to, initiation of, and persistence in goal pursuit (e.g., Brandtstätter, Lengfelder, & Gollwitzer, 2001; Gollwitzer, 1999). It might well be that the feeling of wanting to do something (as opposed to having to do it) is an illusion, but it is a powerful one as shown in research on autonomy and intrinsic motivation (e.g., Ryan & Deci, 2000). As pointed out by Greve (1996), taking the perspective of the person ("first person perspective"), the belief to possess a free will is necessary for a comprehensive understanding of psychological processes.

In this chapter, we argue that personal goals (referring to *what* the person wants to achieve, maintain, or avoid) and motivational processes (referring to *how* a person sets, pursues, maintains, and abandons goals) are central aspects of the "self" in general and the "aging self" in particular that help in understanding the direction and level of individual development. Personal goals are states that people desire or fear for themselves and consequently want to achieve, maintain, or avoid (Emmons, 1996). Following Hooker and McAdams (2003), goals ("personal action units") and motivational processes ("self-regulatory processes") constitute one level in a multiple levels of analysis model of personality (including basic personality traits and narrative identities as the other two levels of personality). It is this level of analysis – personal goals and motivational processes – that has mostly concerned the research agenda by Brandtstädter and his colleagues (e.g., Brandtstädter, 1998, 1999; Brandtstädter & Greve, 1994; Brandtstädter & Renner, 1990; Brandtstädter, Rothermund, & Schmitz, 1998; Brandtstädter & Wentura, 1995).

In accordance with Brandtstädter (1998, 1999), we take the view that the "aging self" can best be understood in terms of motivational changes (including both personal goals and motivational processes) that occur in interaction with age-related changes in internal and external resources. The next question, then, is what these motivational changes are.

WHAT AGES WHEN THE "SELF" AGES?

Development and aging does not occur in a vacuum. Instead, the changes a person goes through over the lifespan occur in constant interaction with his or her social and physical environment. When trying to understand the "aging self," we need to identify age-related changes in the person, the environment, and their interaction. On a very general level, the clearest change is that age is associated with increasing losses. Such multiple sources as increasing morbidity, loss of social partners, decline in information processing speed, loss of sensory acuity and stamina all contribute to the general sense that aging is associated with losses (for a summary see Freund & Riediger, 2003). Moreover, there is high social consensus that old age signals the onset of a larger number of undesirable personality traits (e.g., rigidity) and loss of desirable ones (e.g., extraversion; Heckhausen, Dixon, & Baltes, 1989). For very old age, this negative view appears to be empirically supported (Baltes & Smith, 2003). This is not to say that aging can be described as a uniformly negative, loss-ridden time in life. This is neither true in the stereotypes of older people (Brewer, Dull, & Lui, 1981) nor with regard to the empirical evidence in various domains of functioning. On the one hand, some of the changes that are viewed as losses by younger age groups might, in fact, be seen as value-neutral or even positive changes in the eye of older adults (Carstensen & Freund, 1994). On the other hand, there are a number of functional domains such as vocabulary skills, wisdom, or emotion-regulation that show stability or even increase into old age (for an overview see Baltes, Lindenberger, & Staudinger, 1998). As any other phase in life, then, old age comprises both gains and losses (Baltes, 1987; Brandtstädter, 1998; Labouvie-Vief, 1981). The ratio of gains to losses, however, becomes more and more negative with age (Baltes et al.,

What does it mean for an aging person to encounter more and more losses in various life domains? Of course, the meaning of such losses as the death of a spouse can only be understood in the context of an individual life and depends on a variety of factors such as predictability, emotional and instrumental social support network, financial situation, and coping style. Leaving interindividual differences in the meaning and impact of losses aside, however, it seems that the accumulation of them very likely represents a depletion of resources. Contrary to most psychological definitions, we limit resources to finite and limited entities that can be invested into the selection and the pursuit of goals. In order to avoid potential circularity, we exclude processes related to the management of resources or psychological states such as self-esteem or positive emotions (Freund & Riediger, 2001). This restricted definition of resources clearly allows differentiating between resources (e.g., time), their management (e.g., motivational processes), and outcomes (e.g., positive emotions).

The next question, then, is how older adults manage the losses in resources. A number of models of successful aging argue that management of losses is of prime importance (e.g., Baltes & Baltes, 1990; Brandtstädter & Greve, 1994; Freund, Li, & Baltes, 1999; Heckhausen & Schulz, 1995). All of these models propose that personal goals and motivational processes of setting, pursuing, and abandoning goals are

central for understanding how olded these models stress the important sonal goals motivate and organize directionality and meaning to devermarily affiliation-related goals migly, trying to improve relationships who is mostly interested in excelling reading relevant literature, thinking cases, the goals of a person guide the investment of resources such a predictive of performance in a given e.g., Charness, Krampe, & Mayr, Ittioning.

As pointed out particularly by (i.e., the level of outcome a person portant role for the regulation of er goals leads to positive emotions ar and falling short of one's standards results in negative emotions and standards (i.e., accommodative copersons' well-being against losses Heckhausen & Schulz, 1995).

The model of selection, optimi 1990) distinguishes between two vloss-based selection and compensition refers to adjusting goals and selection implies than based selection implies changing whereas compensation implies ke of goal-pursuit as a way of adapti

We propose that younger adultheir goal-hierarchy and placing in the loss of resources. In contrast efforts to maintain performance with accordance with the model of pieckhausen & Schulz, 1995), we hood is to acquire skills and place access to resources, whereas older resources and functioning. This is and threatened in older adulthood time-perspective allows for an up younger adults still need to acquire potential but they still need to trances before they can start protection.

THE AGING SELF

ES?

a vacuum. Instead, the changes a person stant interaction with his or her social and erstand the "aging self," we need to identienvironment, and their interaction. On a at age is associated with increasing losses. dity, loss of social partners, decline in iny acuity and stamina all contribute to the losses (for a summary see Freund & Rieconsensus that old age signals the onset ty traits (e.g., rigidity) and loss of desiraixon, & Baltes, 1989). For very old age, supported (Baltes & Smith, 2003). This uniformly negative, loss-ridden time in of older people (Brewer, Dull, & Lui, ence in various domains of functioning. t are viewed as losses by younger age al or even positive changes in the eye of On the other hand, there are a number of lls, wisdom, or emotion-regulation that (for an overview see Baltes, Lindenberse in life, then, old age comprises both , 1998; Labouvie-Vief, 1981). The ratio nd more negative with age (Baltes et al.,

encounter more and more losses in varisuch losses as the death of a spouse can vidual life and depends on a variety of instrumental social support network, finterindividual differences in the meanems that the accumulation of them very strary to most psychological definitions, is that can be invested into the selection ential circularity, we exclude processes chological states such as self-esteem or This restricted definition of resources see (e.g., time), their management (e.g., positive emotions).

ł

Its manage the losses in resources. A hat management of losses is of prime Itstädter & Greve, 1994; Freund, Li, & Il of these models propose that person, pursuing, and abandoning goals are

central for understanding how older people manage the depletion of resources. Why do these models stress the importance of selection and pursuit of personal goals? Personal goals motivate and organize behavior over time and across situations, giving directionality and meaning to development. For instance, a person who pursues primarily affiliation-related goals might spend much of her time with friends and family, trying to improve relationships or maintaining closeness. In contrast, a person who is mostly interested in excelling as a chess player might spend most of her time reading relevant literature, thinking about chess problems, and practicing. In both cases, the goals of a person guide behavior across situations and time. Moreover, as the investment of resources such as spending time on deliberate practice is highly predictive of performance in a given domain of functioning (in the case of chess, see e.g., Charness, Krampe, & Mayr, 1996), goals also help to predict the level of functioning.

As pointed out particularly by Brandtstädter and Greve (1994), goal-standards (i.e., the level of outcome a person tries to achieve, maintain, or avoid) play an important role for the regulation of emotional well-being. Whereas the achievement of goals leads to positive emotions and well-being, not being able to attain one's goals and falling short of one's standards because of a permanent loss of relevant resources results in negative emotions and depression. Accordingly, the adjustment of goal-standards (i.e., accommodative coping) to achievable levels helps to protect older persons' well-being against losses (e.g., Brandtstädter & Rothermund, 1994; see also Heckhausen & Schulz, 1995).

The model of selection, optimization, and compensation (SOC; Baltes & Baltes, 1990) distinguishes between two ways of responding to actual or impending losses: loss-based selection and compensation (Freund & Baltes, 2000). Loss-based selection refers to adjusting goals and standards to the available resources, compensation denotes substituting lost means in the service of maintenance of performance. Loss-based selection implies changing the goal in accordance with losses in resources, whereas compensation implies keeping the goal constant while changing the means of goal-pursuit as a way of adapting to goal-relevant resources.

We propose that younger adults are more likely to react to losses by redefining their goal-hierarchy and placing more importance on goals that are not affected by the loss of resources. In contrast, older adults may be more inclined to persist in efforts to maintain performance when faced with a loss. Why should this be the case? In accordance with the model of primary and secondary control (Heckhausen, 1999; Heckhausen & Schulz, 1995), we posit that the central motivation of young adulthood is to acquire skills and place oneself in environments that allow a maximum access to resources, whereas older adults are more motivated to preserve acquired resources and functioning. This is the case because resources are increasingly limited and threatened in older adulthood (e.g., Baltes et al., 1998). In young adulthood, the time-perspective allows for an upward spiral of accumulating resources. In addition, younger adults still need to acquire new skills and resources. They might have a large potential but they still need to translate this potential into actual outcomes or resources before they can start protecting and preserving. Older adults have already ac-

quired and achieved much of what they are able to do. They might not have as much potential as younger adults but they have accumulated more resources or skills over the years that need to be protected. In addition, it is of increasing importance in old age to avoid a downward spiral of resource losses. Moreover, the longer a person feels committed to a certain goal and has invested into it, the more difficult it should be to give it up when encountering losses. For instance, a phenomenon known as the "sunk cost" effect (Arkes & Ayton, 1999) describes the fact that, the more a person has invested into attaining a goal (e.g., time, money, closing other doors), the more difficult it becomes to view these costs as "sunk costs" that should be cut. Instead, people are likely to try repairing and investing even more resources into achieving the respective goal. Distancing oneself from goals might hence become more and more difficult the longer one has held it, and thus might be more difficult the older one is. In sum, we hypothesize that, as the motivation to maintain a goal is related to its past resource investment and as the amount of resource investment is likely to be strongly associated with the amount of time a goal has been held, the "sunk cost" effect is positively related to age.

The tendency to value something more simply because one owns it has been described as the "endowment effect" in the literature on judgment and decision-making. For instance, people value a mug that they have just been given about three times as much (in monetary terms) than when they do not own it (i.e., people are unwilling to sell the mug unless one offers up to three times the money they would be willing to spend on acquiring it; Kahneman, Knetch, & Thaler, 1990, 1991). This effect is usually interpreted as reflecting an aversion to loss and a bias toward the maintenance of the status quo. But why should people be motivated to maintain a more or less arbitrary object they just obtained? One of the processes leading to this effect might be an immediate identification with one's possessions (e.g., the mug becomes part of me when I own it; compare to William James' (1890) notion that a man's possessions are part of his or her "material self"). And if something is part of me, it is worth more than the same object that is not. This interpretation of the "endowment effect" is supported by Loewenstein and Issacharoff (1994) who found that an object is valued higher when receiving it as a reward for good performance than when receiving it merely by chance or as compensation for poor performance.

Going beyond the mere "endowment effect," the subjective value of something should be particularly high after having invested resources into its attainment. The resources might now become part of the object's value. Moreover, as the invested resources might also be seen as part of one's self, the value of oneself now also goes into whatever one has attained. Therefore, losing what one has attained can be directed toward a part of a person's identity or self-definition. Applied to personal goals, this implies that the longer a goal has been pursued and the more resources have been invested into its achievement, the stronger the tendency for maintenance should be. For instance, when the goal is to be a good tennis player, and I have invested much time, money, and effort into achieving this goal, being a good tennis player might become a part of my self-definition, and its loss might threaten my very sense of who I am (Brandtstädter & Greve, 1994; Freund, 1995; Swann, 1990).

Consequently, the likelihood of invibecome higher the more one has already holds the goal. And this is:

In addition, future time perspect orientation. People tend to be more near future because they have a preshort-term opposed to a long-term perspective in different ways. As elaborate level theory, the greater the tempor mental representation (high-level continuous in terms of more concrete and when taking a short-term perspection

Pennington and Roese (2003) sh portance of a goal orientation towar proximity of the goal-related event. however, remained important when spective (Carstensen, Charles, & adults' orientation toward promoting "self" and the research on motiva Theory (Higgins, 1997, 1998). Re people reduce discrepancies between characterized by individuals' repre plishments, or aspirations (i.e., pro terized by the individual's represent sponsibilities (i.e., prevention focu ates differently when serving the (ideal self, promotion focus) versus theory focuses less on goal orientat strategies. Following ideal self-guid sence of positive outcomes and hei tainment ("eager strategy"). Follow absence or presence of negative or ments to goal attainment ("vigilan

Crowe and Higgins (1997) find a promotion frame preferred an ea frame preferred a vigilant strategy flected in a better memory for the r Hymes (1994) show that young adbetter recalled "eager" strategies, woughts remembered "vigilant" strategies, Roney, Higgins, and Shah higher when a task is framed in t compared to a prevention focus. T

able to do. They might not have as much cumulated more resources or skills over ion, it is of increasing importance in old a losses. Moreover, the longer a person rested into it, the more difficult it should or instance, a phenomenon known as the escribes the fact that, the more a person person, money, closing other doors), the more issunk costs" that should be cut. Instead, ing even more resources into achieving m goals might hence become more and did thus might be more difficult the older activation to maintain a goal is related to that of resource investment is likely to be a goal has been held, the "sunk cost"

imply because one owns it has been deature on judgment and decision-making. ave just been given about three times as o not own it (i.e., people are unwilling to nes the money they would be willing to Thaler, 1990, 1991). This effect is usuoss and a bias toward the maintenance of otivated to maintain a more or less arbirocesses leading to this effect might be ssions (e.g., the mug becomes part of me (1890) notion that a man's possessions something is part of me, it is worth more tation of the "endowment effect" is sup-94) who found that an object is valued od performance than when receiving it or performance.

ect," the subjective value of something ested resources into its attainment. The ct's value. Moreover, as the invested reself, the value of oneself now also goes osing what one has attained can be disor self-definition. Applied to personal as been pursued and the more resources stronger the tendency for maintenance to be a good tennis player, and I have achieving this goal, being a good tennistion, and its loss might threaten my very 1994; Freund, 1995; Swann, 1990).

1

Consequently, the likelihood of investing efforts in the service of maintenance might become higher the more one has invested into it in the past and the longer one already holds the goal. And this is more likely the case the older one is.

In addition, future time perspective might play a role in age differences in goal orientation. People tend to be more risk averse in situations that are located in the near future because they have a preference to judge possible gains and losses for a short-term opposed to a long-term perspective (e.g., Lopes, 1981). This might be the case because people mentally represent events in the near as compared to the distant future in different ways. As elaborated by Trope and Liberman (2003) in construal level theory, the greater the temporal distance to an event, the more abstract their mental representation (high-level construals). Low-level construals, i.e., representations in terms of more concrete and incidental details of the events, are more likely when taking a short-term perspective.

Pennington and Roese (2003) showed in a study with college students that the importance of a goal orientation toward the promotion of gains decreased with temporal proximity of the goal-related event. Goal orientation toward the prevention of losses, however, remained important when events came closer. The longer future time perspective (Carstensen, Charles, & Isaacowitz, 2000) might contribute to younger adults' orientation toward promoting gains. A theory that integrates the research on "self" and the research on motivational orientation is Higgins' Regulatory Focus Theory (Higgins, 1997, 1998). Regulatory Focus Theory attempts to explain how people reduce discrepancies between current and desired states: the ideal self-guides, characterized by individuals' representations of desired end states as hopes, accomplishments, or aspirations (i.e., promotion focus) and the ought self-guides, characterized by the individual's representation of desired end states as safety, duties, or responsibilities (i.e., prevention focus). The theory assumes that self-regulation operates differently when serving these fundamentally different needs of nurturance (ideal self, promotion focus) versus security (ought self, prevention focus). Thus, the theory focuses less on goal orientation in itself but more on its effects on goal pursuit strategies. Following ideal self-guides orients a person toward the presence or the absence of positive outcomes and heightens the sensitivity to opportunities for goal attainment ("eager strategy"). Following ought self-guides orients a person toward the absence or presence of negative outcomes and heightens the sensitivity to impediments to goal attainment ("vigilant strategy").

Crowe and Higgins (1997) find in a study with younger adults that participants in a promotion frame preferred an eager strategy whereas participants in a prevention frame preferred a vigilant strategy when pursuing goals. The preference is also reflected in a better memory for the respective strategies. Higgins, Roney, Crowe, and Hymes (1994) show that young adults who were primed with promotion focus ideals better recalled "eager" strategies, whereas young adults primed with prevention focus oughts remembered "vigilant" strategies better. In another study with college students, Roney, Higgins, and Shah (1995) find that persistence and performance are higher when a task is framed in terms of positive outcomes (promotion focus) as compared to a prevention focus. To our knowledge, there is currently no empirical

Ì

1

evidence if this effect also holds for older adults. We suspect that is does not. We suggest that there is a shift in motivational orientation across adulthood from orientation toward gains or growth to goals oriented at maintaining performance and functioning in the face of loss and decline (Freund & Baltes, 2000; Heckhausen, 1999; Staudinger, Marsiske, & Baltes, 1995).

Although Higgins and colleagues find interindividual differences in the strength of regulatory focus in their samples of young adults, we posit that, on average, younger adults are more likely to adopt a promotion focus. This should be the case if, as we posit, the central motivation in young adulthood is to maximize one's potentials. With increasing age, however, the motivational orientation shifts toward maintaining skills, counteracting losses, and focusing on prevention. Older adults, then, should be more motivated to pursue a goal according to a vigilant strategy and avoid losses.

Linking self-regulation theory to the endowment effect, Liberman, Idson, Camacho, and Higgins (1999) argue that people who are concerned with safety and security (i.e., people who hold a prevention focus), favor maintenance and stability in situations when they are satisfied with their level of functioning. In contrast, promotion focus should foster the pursuit of new goals, which might offer new possibilities for gains. In support of this hypothesis, Liberman et al. (1999) found that the endowment effect is moderated by regulatory focus: Prevention focus is positively related to the "endowment effect," whereas people in a promotion focus are more willing to exchange an object for a new one. If, as we argue, older people are more oriented toward the prevention of losses, they should also show a stronger "endowment effect" and less willingness to explore new possibilities that might offer possibilities of gains. In the next section, we elaborate on the proposition of an age-related shift in goal-focus.

GROWING OLD – FROM ORIENTATION TOWARD GROWTH TO PREVENTION OF LOSSES

In the social-psychological literature, the distinction between goals that are oriented toward gains or growth as compared to goals that are oriented toward the maintenance of functioning in the face of losses is known as *approach or avoidance* motivation (e.g., Emmons, 1996). Although logically the framing of a goal as an approach or avoidance goal is symmetrical ("I want to get a job after graduation" vs. "I do not want to become unemployed after graduation"), they differ in their effects on personal well-being and performance. Avoidance goals are related to lower subjective well-being (lower positive mood, less life satisfaction, more anxiety) and performance, whereas approach goals are related to higher positive emotions, well-being, and creativity (e.g., Coats, Janoff-Bulman, & Alpert, 1996; Elliot & Sheldon, 1997; Elliot, Sheldon, & Church, 1997; Emmons, 1996). From this research, one might conclude that goals should be framed as approach goals in order to enhance performance and personal well-being. One caveat, however, concerns the age of par-

ticipants in these studies, namely y addition to the prevalent goal orie avoidance motivation, however, n 2000).

The fact that people are loss-avo pect theory by Kahneman and Tver more willing to take a risk in order cording to prospect theory, people same amount of resources (e.g., m framed as a loss compared to a gain than to gain them. Similarly, Hobfo negative impact than positive events of resources, people are primarily i relative importance of conservation new resources is more true for olde detail elsewhere (Freund & Ried possible is of evolutionary advantage and the survival of one's offspring good genetic material to potential m mulating resources appears at least a standing in terms of resources in a g determine one's attractiveness as a n ting in younger adults who are, evol motive is to produce offspring and the

For older adults, being more and the motivation for maintenance and prevalent (Freund & Baltes, 2000; H over, under conditions of decline in vested in a highly focused and effeimprove functioning instead of inveolder adults. On the one hand, they and would need to invest time and et it. On the other hand, a person typica one of these goals might also have sense of a downward spiral. For ins positively related to the goal to become together with friends (Riediger, 20 comes too painful due to osteoarthr ways. Instead, switching to power w efforts might maintain all three goa THE AGING SELF

dults. We suspect that is does not. We entation across adulthood from oriental at maintaining performance and functed & Baltes, 2000; Heckhausen, 1999;

erindividual differences in the strength ing adults, we posit that, on average, motion focus. This should be the case if, adulthood is to maximize one's potenvational orientation shifts toward mainsing on prevention. Older adults, then, cording to a vigilant strategy and avoid

wment effect, Liberman, Idson, Camano are concerned with safety and securifavor maintenance and stability in situlof functioning. In contrast, promotion which might offer new possibilities for tet al. (1999) found that the endowment tention focus is positively related to the omotion focus are more willing to exque, older people are more oriented toso show a stronger "endowment effect" illities that might offer possibilities of e proposition of an age-related shift in

I TOWARD GROWTH TO

inction between goals that are oriented is that are oriented toward the maintenown as approach or avoidance motically the framing of a goal as an approach to get a job after graduation" vs. "I duation"), they differ in their effects on ance goals are related to lower subjectes satisfaction, more anxiety) and perfed to higher positive emotions, well-man, & Alpert, 1996; Elliot & Sheldon, mons, 1996). From this research, one as approach goals in order to enhance weat, however, concerns the age of par-

ticipants in these studies, namely young adults, predominantly college students. In addition to the prevalent goal orientation, the *effects* of approach as compared to avoidance motivation, however, might also change with age (Freund & Baltes, 2000).

The fact that people are loss-avoidant is known from the research based on prospect theory by Kahneman and Tversky (1979). This research shows that people are more willing to take a risk in order to avoid losses than in order to attain gains. According to prospect theory, people react more extreme to losses because the very same amount of resources (e.g., money) has a higher subjective value when it is framed as a loss compared to a gain. To loose \$10 appears to be subjectively more than to gain them. Similarly, Hobfoll (e.g., 1998) argues that losses have a stronger negative impact than positive events. According to Hobfoll's theory of conservation of resources, people are primarily motivated to avoid losses. We maintain that the relative importance of conservation of present resources as compared to attainment of new resources is more true for older than for younger adults. As elaborated in more detail elsewhere (Freund & Riediger, 2001), possessing as many resources as possible is of evolutionary advantage because resources are essential for one's own and the survival of one's offspring, and they enhance attractiveness by signaling good genetic material to potential mates (Buss, 1999). Therefore, attaining and accumulating resources appears at least as important as maintaining them. As the relative standing in terms of resources in a given social group seems, among other things, to determine one's attractiveness as a mate, resource gain should be particularly motivating in younger adults who are, evolutionary speaking, in a phase where their prime motive is to produce offspring and thereby enhance the likelihood of survival of their

For older adults, being more and more confronted with threats to their resources, the motivation for maintenance and loss-avoidance should become more and more prevalent (Freund & Baltes, 2000; Heckhausen, 1999; Staudinger et al., 1995). Moreover, under conditions of decline in resources, the remaining resources need to be invested in a highly focused and effective manner. Pursuing new goals and trying to improve functioning instead of investing into repairs of losses might be too costly for older adults. On the one hand, they might have less knowledge about the new goal and would need to invest time and effort into gaining information about how to attain it. On the other hand, a person typically holds multiple, interrelated goals. The loss of one of these goals might also have detrimental effects on other related goals, in the sense of a downward spiral. For instance, the goal of exercising regularly might be positively related to the goal to become healthier and the goal to engage in activities together with friends (Riediger, 2001). Stopping to exercise because jogging becomes too painful due to osteoarthritis might affect the other two goals in negative ways. Instead, switching to power walking, biking, or aqua-aerobics as compensatory efforts might maintain all three goals.

EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE

Motivational preferences for growth or gains in young adulthood are evident in research on personal goals. When younger adults (mostly college students) are asked to list their personal goals, they typically report at least three times as many goals that focus on gains ("approach goals") than goals that focus on losses ("avoidance goals"; Elliot et al., 1997; Emmons, 1996). This finding favors the view that, for young adults, approach motivation is more salient than avoidance motivation. The question is, then, does this motivational preference change with age and how?

The empirical evidence pertaining to this question is scarce. In the following, we will report a selection of studies that have addressed age-related changes in motivational orientation (Ebner & Freund, 2003; Freund, 2002; Heckhausen, 1997; Ogilvie, Rose, & Heppen, 2001). As will be elaborated in more detail, all four studies support the hypothesis that younger adults are more oriented toward gains whereas older adults show a stronger orientation toward maintenance and avoidance of losses. In the study by Heckhausen (1997), young, middle-aged, and older adults were asked to list their most important personal hopes, plans, and goals for the next 5 to 10 years. Each of these goals was coded by independent raters as either "approach" or "avoidance" goals. The rating was based on the life domain to which the goal related (e.g., work-related goals were coded as approach goals, whereas health-related goals were coded as avoidance goals). As expected, compared to middle-aged and older adults, young adults listed more goals in life domains that were coded as pertaining to approach orientation. In contrast, older adults listed more goals in life domains that were coded as avoidance oriented. Interestingly, the orientation toward loss-avoidance was already present in middle adulthood: Middle-aged adults named more goals in life domains coded as loss-avoidance than younger adults. These results support the idea of an age-related increase of avoiding losses instead of striving for gains as central motivational orientation.

The coding of goals as reflecting approach or loss-avoidance motivation on the basis of the life domains to which they refer can only serve as a rough indicator of goal orientation. Ogilvie and colleagues (2001) used a more direct and extended assessment of motivational orientation in interviews on personal projects with adolescents, middle-aged adults, and older adults. In two studies, participants were asked to list their goals and to indicate their reasons for goal involvement. Independent raters categorized these purpose statements into one of four motivational categories: the motivation to acquire (i.e., the desire to obtain a future positive outcome), the intention to keep (i.e., the desire to avoid losing an existing positive condition), the desire to cure an existing negative condition, and the motivation to prevent a negative outcome.

The desire to acquire constituted the highest percentage of reasons for goal involvement in all three age groups, accompanied by a stepwise decline in its prevalence in the middle-aged and older groups. Maintenance orientation was more char-

acteristic of older than adolescents of tion to cure an existing negative cooutcome, showed no consistent sign

The studies by Heckhausen (199 nal categorization of goal orientat goals as being either oriented towar sumes that any one given goal car (Ebner & Freund, 2003) tested this rate each of their personal goals ser orientation: growth (i.e., orientation skills), maintenance (i.e., orientation toward contrast to the exclusivity assumpt maintenance and growth and preventation. For instance, the goal "engag taneously at improving one's appearand not becoming overweight (preventation of goal orientation).

Regarding age differences in m with results reported by Heckhaus young adults reported a primary or goals were equally oriented toward accordance with earlier studies (e. Elliot et al., 1997; Emmons, 1996) was negatively related to subjective negative relationship was *not* presetion toward maintaining functions

Going beyond self-report, a set orientation on the level of goal sele we found evidence supporting the letoward gains whereas older adults a ing losses. Using a forced choice quently selected goals oriented tow functioning). In contrast, older adult prevention of loss just as frequently tive functioning, and selected ever and loss-prevention in the domain or resources, we also found that, when a growth-oriented goal opposed to tion of loss more salient, both age graining functioning and counteract

Addressing actual goal pursuit, fects of goal orientation on *persiste* support the hypothesis of a prefere for gain orientation in younger adu

s in young adulthood are evident in rets (mostly college students) are asked to t at least three times as many goals that that focus on losses ("avoidance goals"; nding favors the view that, for young an avoidance motivation. The question tange with age and how?

question is scarce. In the following, we dressed age-related changes in motivaund, 2002; Heckhausen, 1997; Ogilvie, d in more detail, all four studies support e oriented toward gains whereas older aintenance and avoidance of losses. In lle-aged, and older adults were asked to ns, and goals for the next 5 to 10 years. t raters as either "approach" or "avoiddomain to which the goal related (e.g., oals, whereas health-related goals were pared to middle-aged and older adults, is that were coded as pertaining to aplisted more goals in life domains that gly, the orientation toward loss-avoid-Middle-aged adults named more goals younger adults. These results support g losses instead of striving for gains as

h or loss-avoidance motivation on the can only serve as a rough indicator of 1) used a more direct and extended asiews on personal projects with adolestwo studies, participants were asked to goal involvement. Independent raters e of four motivational categories: the a future positive outcome), the intenexisting positive condition), the desire emotivation to prevent a negative out-

est percentage of reasons for goal inied by a stepwise decline in its prevaaintenance orientation was more characteristic of older than adolescents or middle-aged adults. Unexpectedly, the motivation to cure an existing negative condition, and the intention to prevent a negative outcome, showed no consistent significant age trajectory.

The studies by Heckhausen (1997) as well as Ogilvie et al. (2001) relied on external categorization of goal orientation. Moreover, the exclusive categorization of goals as being either oriented toward growth, maintenance, or prevention of loss assumes that any one given goal can represent one, and only one, orientation. We (Ebner & Freund, 2003) tested this assumption by asking young and older adults to rate each of their personal goals separately with respect to three dimensions of goal orientation: growth (i.e., orientation toward the improvement or achievement of new skills), maintenance (i.e., orientation toward maintaining functioning), and prevention of loss (i.e., orientation toward prevention of undesired outcomes and losses). In contrast to the exclusivity assumption in the literature, we found that growth and maintenance and growth and prevention of loss orientation are independent of each other. For instance, the goal "engaging in an exercise program" can be aimed simultaneously at improving one's appearance (growth), staying in shape (maintenance), and not becoming overweight (prevention of loss).

Regarding age differences in motivational orientation, we found in accordance with results reported by Heckhausen (1997) as well as Ogilvie et al. (2001), that young adults reported a primary orientation toward growth, whereas older adults' goals were equally oriented toward maintenance and loss-prevention. Moreover, in accordance with earlier studies (e.g., Coats et al., 1996; Elliot & Sheldon, 1997; Elliot et al., 1997; Emmons, 1996) we found that orientation toward loss-avoidance was negatively related to subjective well-being in young adulthood. As expected, this negative relationship was *not* present for older adults. In old age, a primary orientation toward maintaining functions evidenced as most favorable.

Going beyond self-report, a set of studies assessed age-related differences in goal orientation on the level of goal selection *behavior* (Ebner & Freund, 2003). Again, we found evidence supporting the hypothesis that younger adults are more oriented toward gains whereas older adults are more oriented toward maintaining and preventing losses. Using a forced choice design, we found that younger adults more frequently selected goals oriented toward growth (here related to cognitive or physical functioning). In contrast, older adults selected goals that focused on maintenance and prevention of loss just as frequently as growth-oriented goals in the domain of cognitive functioning, and selected even more often goals oriented toward maintenance and loss-prevention in the domain of physical functioning. Underscoring the role of resources, we also found that, when making the higher resource demands of pursuing a growth-oriented goal opposed to a goal oriented toward maintenance and prevention of loss more salient, both age groups showed a primary orientation toward maintaining functioning and counteracting losses.

Addressing actual goal pursuit, a set of studies investigated age-differential effects of goal orientation on *persistence* in goal pursuit (Freund, 2002). These studies support the hypothesis of a preference for compensatory efforts in older adults and for gain orientation in younger adults. The results showed that younger adults were

more motivated (operationalized as persistence) to achieve higher levels of performance than to maintain performance when confronted with a loss on the same experimental task. Conversely, older adults when faced with a loss-situation showed higher persistence when compared to the same task aiming at improving their performance.

Taken together, empirical evidence suggests a motivational shift in goal selection as well as in its effects on goal pursuit in the "aging self" that occurs in interaction with age-related changes in internal and external goal-related means and resources across the life course (Brandtstädter, 1998). To acquire skills and approach situations that allow a maximum access to resources seem to be the central motives that drive younger adults. The awareness of depletion of available resources and an increasing risk of a downward spiral of resource losses with age, however, seem to direct older adults' central motivational orientation toward preservation of acquired resources and functioning. Specifying the findings reported in the literature on approach and avoidance motivation, pursuing goals that contribute to avoiding losses and help to maintain what one has appears to be detrimental only in younger adults. In older adults, avoidance motivation appears to be related to higher subjective well-being.

THE AGING SELF: INTENTIONAL OR UNINTENTIONAL DEVELOPMENT?

In the remainder of this chapter, we want to approach the question of intentionality once again. Are the age-related changes in goal orientation from gain to maintenance and prevention of loss intentional, controlled, or even conscious as Brandtstädter (1999) would suggest? Are individuals consciously aware of changes occurring in their internal and external resources and intentionally react to these in reorienting their motivational focus? Or does the change in goal orientation occur automatically and uncontrolled? For instance, do younger adults intentionally strive for gains because they want to acquire new skills and maximize their potentials? Or is this a motivational orientation that has evolved because of evolutionary advantages of accumulating many resources in young adulthood, and has become part of our behavioral repertoire that does not require intentionality? Similarly, to what degree do older adults consciously and volitionally decide to change their motivational focus toward maintenance and loss-prevention, and to what degree does the prevalence of losses in old age automatically trigger loss-avoidant behavior? We argue that it is not helpful to frame the question of the presence or lack of intentionality, control, and automaticity as exclusive alternatives. Instead, motivational processes are likely to have aspects that are intentional, conscious, and controlled but also aspects that are unintentional, unconscious, and automatic.

Unconscious goal processes are not the same as implicit motives. Implicit motives are typically conceptualized as being based on early acquired, affective preferences for certain kinds of stimuli and are only rarely equivalent to explicit goals (Brunstein, 2003). For instance, high achievement motivation might be expressed as the goal to become a professional athlete in one person, or as the goal to maintain the position as

the CEO of a large corporation in a ent explicit goals but they both se achievement. Similar to implicit move posit that goal *orientation* can a certain kind of outcome (i.e., gainstion can be applied to a variety of gbe consciously represented in ord report studies by Ogilvie et al. (20 appear to be able to recognize difference asked to do so. If this explicit goal one is to this point unclear. As is to not be able to easily access their in

In earlier research on regulatory & Strauman, 1985) used a self-report on. Most of their recent research, he ting ideal and ought self-attribute assume that people are unable to and related regulatory focus. Insteat the assumption that the more important aguide for evaluation and behavior more accessible it is, which leads to one of the key factors for automatiself'-comparison standard might all processes is typically associated with gulatory focus, then, appears to be that can occur without conscious a

Environmental stimuli might see of goal orientation (Bargh & Fergu tions that allow improvement of sk adults are much more likely to be oring their functioning. This might young adults and toward loss-avoi exploring the degree of accessibil maintenance and loss-avoidance is

The powerful effect of the act recently been demonstrated in a st study, young adults walked more age which is associated with a decr Hausdorff, Levy, & Wei, 1999). times in a simple lexical decision older persons (Kawakami, Young experimental subliminal priming be contact with older adults and who I neille, 2000; cited in Wheeler & Po

ce) to achieve higher levels of perforafronted with a loss on the same experieed with a loss-situation showed higher iming at improving their performance. ts a motivational shift in goal selection "aging self" that occurs in interaction rnal goal-related means and resources acquire skills and approach situations em to be the central motives that drive favailable resources and an increasing ith age, however, seem to direct older rd preservation of acquired resources orted in the literature on approach and ntribute to avoiding losses and help to ental only in younger adults. In older lated to higher subjective well-being.

NINTENTIONAL

pproach the question of intentionality l orientation from gain to maintenance l, or even conscious as Brandtstädter iously aware of changes occurring in ntionally react to these in reorienting n goal orientation occur automatically dults intentionally strive for gains beimize their potentials? Or is this a moe of evolutionary advantages of accuand has become part of our behavioral ? Similarly, to what degree do older hange their motivational focus toward degree does the prevalence of losses in havior? We argue that it is not helpful fintentionality, control, and automatitional processes are likely to have asolled but also aspects that are uninten-

e as implicit motives. Implicit motives a early acquired, affective preferences equivalent to explicit goals (Brunstein, tion might be expressed as the goal to as the goal to maintain the position as the CEO of a large corporation in a different person. Both persons have very different explicit goals but they both serve the same underlying implicit motive of high achievement. Similar to implicit motives such as achievement, affiliation, and power, we posit that goal *orientation* can also be considered a fundamental preference for a certain kind of outcome (i.e., gains, maintenance, prevention of loss). Goal orientation can be applied to a variety of goal contents or life domains and does not have to be consciously represented in order to guide attention and behavior. As the self-report studies by Ogilvie et al. (2001) and Ebner and Freund (2003) show, people appear to be able to recognize different goal orientations in their explicit goals when asked to do so. If this explicit goal orientation, however, is the same as their implicit one is to this point unclear. As is true in the case of implicit motives, people might not be able to easily access their implicit goal orientations.

In earlier research on regulatory focus by Higgins and colleagues (Higgins, Klein, & Strauman, 1985) used a self-report measure to assess ideal and ought self-orientation. Most of their recent research, however, uses response times for generating and rating ideal and ought self-attributes. This indirect assessment approach seems to assume that people are unable to directly report on their self-guide (ought or ideal) and related regulatory focus. Instead, this assessment procedure seems to be based on the assumption that the more important the 'ought' or 'ideal self' is in its function as a guide for evaluation and behavior, the more frequently it is activated, and hence the more accessible it is, which leads to shorter response times. As frequent activation is one of the key factors for automatization (e.g., Bargh, 1994), the 'ought' or 'ideal self'-comparison standard might also become automatic over time. Automatization of processes is typically associated with a lack of awareness, intention, and control. Regulatory focus, then, appears to be conceptualized as comprising automatic aspects that can occur without conscious awareness, control, and intention.

Environmental stimuli might serve as external trigger for the automatic activation of goal orientation (Bargh & Ferguson, 2000). Younger adults are frequently in situations that allow improvement of skills and maximization of resources, whereas older adults are much more likely to be confronted with losses in their resources threatening their functioning. This might result in an automatic orientation toward gain in young adults and toward loss-avoidance in older adults. In this vein, we are currently exploring the degree of accessibility of growth orientation in young adults and of maintenance and loss-avoidance in older adults.

The powerful effect of the activation of concepts for subsequent behavior has recently been demonstrated in a study by Bargh, Chen, and Burrows (1996). In this study, young adults walked more slowly after being primed with the concept of old age which is associated with a decrease in walking speed (but see for differing results Hausdorff, Levy, & Wei, 1999). Similarly, young adults showed longer reaction times in a simple lexical decision task after having been presented with pictures of older persons (Kawakami, Young, & Dividio, 2002). This effect seems to hold for experimental subliminal priming but only for those younger adults who have a lot of contact with older adults and who hold strong aging stereotypes (Dijksterhuis & Corneille, 2000; cited in Wheeler & Petty, 2001). Taken together, these findings suggest

an automatic link between the activation of a concept and behavior. As of yet, however, the processes of how stereotypes or expectations about the activated concept (here "old age") affect behavior are not clear. One possible process is that the activation of a concept (e.g., "slow") also activates the respective motor code (Hommel, Muesseler, Aschersleben, & Prinz, 2001). Another process could be the automatic assimilation of one's own behavior to the behavior that is displayed by the environment (e.g., adjusting one's walking pace to that of one's social environment). Thus, environmental stimuli that activate the concept "young," which is strongly associated with gains, might automatically lead to behavior that is oriented towards gains. Conversely, stimuli associated with "old" might automatically trigger the activation of maintenance or loss-avoidance orientation. As people are typically in social environments that are dominated by their own age group, their peers might serve as cues for the automatic activation of the respective goal orientation.

Reinforcement principles might also play a role in establishing a chronic goal orientation. Younger adults might be more successful in attaining growth goals since they possess the respective resources. Goal attainment serves then as a reward, increasing the likelihood of activating the corresponding goal orientation in the respective situation. In contrast, older adults, due to a lack of the necessary resources, might more frequently experience failure in attempts to attain growth goals. The likelihood of achieving maintenance or prevention of loss goals is much higher for older adults, which serves as reinforcement of this kind of goal orientation. Over time, individuals might learn and automatize the goal orientation that leads more frequently to positive (or, in the case of avoiding a negative outcome, negative) reinforcement.

Acknowledging automatic, unconscious, and uncontrollable aspects in goal-related processes does not imply that all or even most goal-related processes fall into this category. People might still have (or feel they have) intentions and actively set and pursue goals. For instance, young adults might actively decide to set their goal standards very high to increase their skills, to be able to keep up with their peers, and to maximize their resources. Older adults might opt to lower their goal standards and to shift their goal orientation toward perseverance and loss-prevention because they have experienced that this is more adaptive for them. The perception of a "free choice" of goal orientation might actually be of great importance for subsequent goal-related behavior and well-being. The perception of having freely chosen to lower one's goal standards from gains to maintenance or loss-prevention might preserve older adults' feelings of control and well-being ("sour grape reaction" or secondary control in terms of the model by Heckhausen & Schulz, 1995). In this sense, then, intentionality might play an important role in self-development, be it an illusion or not.

REFERENCES

Arkes, H. R. & Ayton, P. (1999). The sunk wer animals? *Psychological Bulletin, 1*

Baltes, P. B. (1987). Theoretical propositio between growth and decline. *Developm* Baltes, P. B., & Baltes, M. M. (1990). Psycl

lective optimization with compensation Perspectives from the behavioral science

Baltes, P. B., Lindenberger, U., & Staudinge logy. In R. M. Lerner (Ed.), Handbook of velopment (5th ed., pp. 1029-1143). Ne

Baltes, P. B., & Smith, J. (2003). New fro young old to the dilemmas of the fourth

Bargh, J. A. (1990). Auto-motives: precons R. M. Sorrentino (Eds.), *Handbook of a* (Vol. 2, pp. 93-130). New York: Guilfo

Bargh, J. A. (1994). The four horsemen of a social cognition. In J. R. S. Wyer & T. K & 2, pp. 1-40). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Bargh, J. A., Chen, M., & Burrows, L. (19) construct and stereotype activation on 230-244.

Bargh, J. A., & Ferguson, M. J. (2000). B processes. *Psychological Bulletin*, 126,

Brandtstädter, J. (1998). Action theory in d of child psychology: Vol. 1. Theoretical York: Wiley.

Brandtstädter, J. (1999). The self in action a of intentional self-development. In J. Br ment: Theory and research through the

Brandtstädter, J., & Greve, W. (1994). The *mental Review, 14*, 52-80.

Brandtstädter, J., & Greve, W. (1999). I [Intentional and non-intentional aspects Theory] (pp. 185-212). Frankfurt/Main

Brandtstädter, J., & Lerner, R. M. (Eds.). (through the life span. Thousand Oaks,

Brandtstädter, J., & Renner, G. (1990). Tena and age-related analysis of assimilative *Aging*, 5, 58-67.

Brandtstädter, J., & Rothermund, K. (199 Buffering losses by rescaling goals. *Psy*

Brandtstädter, J., Rothermund, K., & Scl through adulthood and later life: The ada tive flexibility. In J. Heckhausen & C. S *life span* (pp. 365-388). New York: Car

Brandtstädter, J., & Wentura, D. (1995). A pensatory adaptive modes. In R. A. Dixenaging losses and promoting gains (pp

a concept and behavior. As of yet, howxpectations about the activated concept r. One possible process is that the activaes the respective motor code (Hommel, Another process could be the automatic ehavior that is displayed by the environthat of one's social environment). Thus, ept "young," which is strongly associated evior that is oriented towards gains. Conact automatically trigger the activation of As people are typically in social environgroup, their peers might serve as cues for oal orientation.

y a role in establishing a chronic goal oriccessful in attaining growth goals since attainment serves then as a reward, inesponding goal orientation in the respecoa lack of the necessary resources, might outs to attain growth goals. The likelihood coss goals is much higher for older adults, if goal orientation. Over time, individuals ion that leads more frequently to positive tome, negative) reinforcement.

and uncontrollable aspects in goal-reren most goal-related processes fall into
rel they have) intentions and actively set
is might actively decide to set their goal
to be able to keep up with their peers, and
reght opt to lower their goal standards and
reance and loss-prevention because they
re for them. The perception of a "free
be of great importance for subsequent
perception of having freely chosen to
intenance or loss-prevention might prel well-being ("sour grape reaction" or
red Heckhausen & Schulz, 1995). In this
portant role in self-development, be it an

REFERENCES

Arkes, H. R. & Ayton, P. (1999). The sunk cost and concorde effects: Are humans less rational than lower animals? *Psychological Bulletin*, 125, 591-600.

- Baltes, P. B. (1987). Theoretical propositions of life-span developmental psychology: On the dynamics between growth and decline. *Developmental Psychology*, 23, 611-626.
- Baltes, P. B., & Baltes, M. M. (1990). Psychological perspectives on successful aging: The model of selective optimization with compensation. In P. B. Baltes & M. M. Baltes (Eds.), Successful aging. Perspectives from the behavioral sciences (pp. 1-34). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Baltes, P. B., Lindenberger, U., & Staudinger, U. M. (1998). Life-span theory in developmental psychology. In R. M. Lerner (Ed.), Handbook of child psychology. Vol. 1: Theoretical models of human development (5th ed., pp. 1029-1143). New York: Wiley.
- Baltes, P. B., & Smith, J. (2003). New frontiers in the future of aging: From successful aging of the young old to the dilemmas of the fourth age. *Journals of Gerontology*, 49, 123-135.
- Bargh, J. A. (1990). Auto-motives: preconscious determinants of social interaction. In E. T. Higgins & R. M. Sorrentino (Eds.), *Handbook of motivation and cognition: Foundations of social behavior* (Vol. 2, pp. 93-130). New York: Guilford Press.
- Bargh, J. A. (1994). The four horsemen of automaticity: awareness, intention, efficiency, and control in social cognition. In J. R. S. Wyer & T. K. Srull (Eds.), *Handbook of social cognition* (2nd ed., Vol. 1 & 2, pp. 1-40). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Bargh, J. A., Chen, M., & Burrows, L. (1996). Automaticity of social behavior: Direct effects of trait construct and stereotype activation on action. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 71, 230-244.
- Bargh, J. A., & Ferguson, M. J. (2000). Beyond behaviorism: On the automaticity of higher mental processes. *Psychological Bulletin*, 126, 925-945.
- Brandtstädter, J. (1998). Action theory in developmental psychology. In R. M. Lerner (Ed.), *Handbook of child psychology: Vol. 1. Theoretical models of human development* (5th ed., pp. 807-863). New York: Wiley.
- Brandtstädter, J. (1999). The self in action and development: Cultural, biosocial, and ontogenetic bases of intentional self-development. In J. Brandtstädter & R. M. Lerner (Eds.), *Action and self development: Theory and research through the life span* (pp. 37-65). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Brandtstädter, J., & Greve, W. (1994). The aging self: Stabilizing and protective processes. *Developmental Review*, 14, 52-80.
- Brandtstädter, J., & Greve, W. (1999). Intentionale und nichtintentionale Aspekte des Handelns [Intentional and non-intentional aspects of acting]. In Straub, W. (Ed.), *Handlungstheorie* [Action Theory] (pp. 185-212). Frankfurt/Main, Germany: Campus.
- Brandtstädter, J., & Lerner, R. M. (Eds.). (1999). *Action and self development: Theory and research through the life span*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Brandtstädter, J., & Renner, G. (1990). Tenacious goal pursuit and flexible goal adjustment: Explication and age-related analysis of assimilative and accommodative strategies of coping. *Psychology and Aging*, 5, 58-67.
- Brandtstädter, J., & Rothermund, K. (1994). Self-percepts of control in middle and late adulthood: Buffering losses by rescaling goals. *Psychology and Aging*, *9*, 265-273.
- Brandtstädter, J., Rothermund, K., & Schmitz, U. (1998). Maintaining self-integrity and efficacy through adulthood and later life: The adaptive functions of assimilative persistence and accommodative flexibility. In J. Heckhausen & C. S. Dweck (Eds.), *Motivation and self-regulation across the life span* (pp. 365-388). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Brandtstädter, J., & Wentura, D. (1995). Adjustment to shifting possibility frontiers in later life: Compensatory adaptive modes. In R. A. Dixon & L. Bäckman (Eds.), *Psychological compensation: Managing losses and promoting gains* (pp. 83-106). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

- Brandtstädter, J., Wentura, D., & Greve, W. (1993). Adaptive resources of the aging self: Outlines of an emergent perspective. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 16, 323-349.
- Brandtstätter, V., Lengfelder, A., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (2001). Implementation intentions and efficient action initiation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 81, 946-960.
- Brewer, M. B., Dull, V., & Lui, L. (1981). Perceptions of the elderly: Stereotypes as prototypes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 41, 656-670.
- Brunstein, J. C. (2003). Implizite Motive und motivationale Selbstbilder: Zwei Prädiktoren mit unterschiedlichen Gültigkeitsbereichen. [Implicit motives and motivational self-images: Two predictors with different validity]. In J. Stiensmeier & F. Rheinberg (Hrsg). Diagnostik von Motivation und Selbstkonzept [Assessment of motivation and self-concept] (S. 59-88). Göttingen, Germany: Hogrefe.
- Buss, D. M. (1999). Evolutionary psychology. The new science of the mind. Boston: Allyn & Bacon. Carstensen, L. L., Charles, S. T., & Isaacowitz, D. M. (2000). Applying science to human behavior. American Psychologist, 55, 343.
- Carstensen, L. L., & Freund, A. M. (1994). The resilience of the aging self. Developmental Review, 14, 81-92.
- Carver, C. S., & Scheier, M. F. (1999). Themes and issues in the self-regulation of behavior. In Wyer, jr., R. S. (Ed.), Perspectives on behavioral self-regulation (pp. 1-105). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Charness, N., Krampe, R., & Mayr, U. (1996). The role of practice and coaching in entrepreneurial skill domains: An international comparison of life-span chess skill acquisition. In: K. A. Ericsson (Ed). The road to excellence: The acquisition of expert performance in the arts and sciences, sports, and games (pp. 51-80). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Coats, E. J., Janoff-Bulman, R., & Alpert, N. (1996). Approach versus avoidance goals: Differences in self-evaluation and well-being. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 22, 1057-1067.
- Crowe, E., & Higgins, E. T (1997). Regulatory focus and strategic inclinations: Promotion and prevention in decision-making. Organizational Behavior & Human Decision Processes, 69, 117-132
- Ebner, N. C., & Freund, A. M. (2003). Win or don't lose: Age differences in personal goal focus. Poster presented at the 111th Meeting of the American Psychological Association in Toronto, Canada.
- Elliot, A. J., & Sheldon, K. M. (1997). Avoidance achievement motivation: A personal goals analysis. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 73, 171-185.
- Elliot, A. J., Sheldon, K. M., & Church, M. A. (1997). Avoidance personal goals and subjective well-being. *Personality and Social Psychological Bulletin*, 23, 915-927.
- Emmons, R. A. (1996). Striving and feeling. Personal goals and subjective well-being. In P. M. Gollwitzer & J. A. Bargh (Eds.), *The psychology of action: Linking cognition and motivation to behavior* (pp. 313-337). New York: Guilford Press.
- Ford, D. H. (1987). Humans as self-constructing living systems: A developmental perspective on personality and behavior. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Freund, A. M. (1995). Wer bin ich? Die Selbstdefinition alter Menschen [Who am 1? Self-definition in old age]. Berlin, Germany: Sigma.
- Freund, A. M. (2002). Die Rolle von Zielen für die Entwicklung [The role of goals for development]. Unpublished Habilitation, Free University, Berlin, Germany.
- Freund, A. M., & Baltes, P. B. (2000). The orchestration of selection, optimization, and compensation: An action-theoretical conceptualization of a theory of developmental regulation. In W. J. Perrig & A. Grob (Eds.), Control of human behavior, mental processes and consciousness (pp. 35-58). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Freund, A. M., Li, K. Z. H., & Baltes, P. B. (1999). Successful development and aging: The role of selection, optimization, and compensation. In J. Brandtstädter & R. M. Lerner (Eds.), *Action and self-development: theory and research through the life span* (pp. 401-434). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Freund, A. M., & Riediger, M. (2001). What I have and what I do the role of resource loss and gain throughout life. *Applied Psychology*, 50, 370-380.

- Freund, A. M., & Riediger, M. (2003). Suc (Eds.), *Comprehensive handbook of ps* 628). New York: Wiley.
- Gibson, J. J. (1977). The theory of afforda and knowing. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Gollwitzer, P. M. (1996). The volitional be The psychology of action. Linking cogn Guilford Press.
- Gollwitzer, P. M. (1999). Implementation logist, 54, 493-503.
- Greve, W. (1992). Möglichkeiten und Gre Anmerkungen zu von Cranach (1991). Critical remarks on von Cranach (1991).
- Greve, W. (1996). Erkenne Dich selbst? An [Know thyself? Arguments on the relevants, 104-119.
- Greve, W. (2001). Traps and gaps in acti human action. *Psychological Review, 1*
- Hausdorff, J. M., Levy, B. R., & Wei, J. Y. persons: Reversibility of age-related ga 1346-1349.
- Heckhausen, J. (1997). Developmental reg age-related challenges. Developmental
- Heckhausen, J. (1999). Developmental reg constraints as adaptive challenges. Nev
- Heckhausen, J., Dixon, R. A., & Baltes, I adulthood as perceived by different adu
- Heckhausen, J. & Schulz, R. (1995). A life-
- Higgins, E. T. (1997). Beyond pleasure an Higgins, E. T. (1998). Promotion and preve ces in Experimental Social Psychology.
- Higgins, E. T., Klein, R., & Strauman, T. model for distinguishing among differer
- Higgins, E. T., Roney, C. J. R., Crowe, E., a proach and avoidance: Distinct self-regular, 66, 276-286.
- Hobfoll, S. E. (1998). Stress, culture, and a York: Plenum
- Hommel, B., Muesseler, J., Aschersleben, G. A framework for perception and action
- Hooker, K., & McAdams, D. P. (2003). Per Journal of Gerontology: Psychological
- James, W. (1890). Principles of psycholog Kahneman, D., Knetch, J., & Thaler, R. (1 coase theorem. Journal of Political Ecc
- Kahneman, D., Knetch, J., & Thaler, R. (19 status quo bias. *Journal of Economic Policy*)
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Pros ca, 47, 263-291.
- Kawakami, K., Young, H., & Dovidio, J. F. vioral activations. *Personality and Soci*

aptive resources of the aging self: Outlines of an

- avioral Development, 16, 323-349. 2001). Implementation intentions and efficient Psychology, 81, 946-960.
- of the elderly: Stereotypes as prototypes. Jour-
- onale Selbstbilder: Zwei Prädiktoren mit unters and motivational self-images: Two predictors inberg (Hrsg). Diagnostik von Motivation und ncept] (S. 59-88). Göttingen, Germany: Hogrefe. w science of the mind. Boston: Allyn & Bacon. (2000). Applying science to human behavior.
- ce of the aging self. Developmental Review, 14,
- ues in the self-regulation of behavior. In Wyer, gulation (pp. 1-105). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum. of practice and coaching in entrepreneurial skill chess skill acquisition. In: K. A. Ericsson (Ed). rformance in the arts and sciences, sports, and
- pproach versus avoidance goals: Differences in cial Psychology Bulletin, 22, 1057-1067.
- sus and strategic inclinations: Promotion and havior & Human Decision Processes, 69, 117-
- e: Age differences in personal goal focus. Poster ychological Association in Toronto, Canada. evement motivation: A personal goals analysis. 171-185.
- Avoidance personal goals and subjective wellin, 23, 915-927.
- al goals and subjective well-being. In P. M. of action: Linking cognition and motivation to
- ing systems: A developmental perspective on
- n alter Menschen [Who am I? Self-definition in
- twicklung [The role of goals for development]. Germany.
- n of selection, optimization, and compensation: of developmental regulation. In W. J. Perrig & processes and consciousness (pp. 35-58). Mah-
- uccessful development and aging: The role of andtstädter & R. M. Lerner (Eds.), Action and life span (pp. 401-434). Thousand Oaks, CA:
- d what I do the role of resource loss and gain

THE AGING SELF 201

Freund, A. M., & Riediger, M. (2003). Successful aging. In R. M. Lerner, A. Easterbrooks, & J. Mistry, (Eds.), Comprehensive handbook of psychology: Volume 6: Developmental psychology (pp. 601-628). New York: Wiley.

- Gibson, J. J. (1977). The theory of affordances. In R. Shaw & J. Bransford (Eds.). Perceiving, acting and knowing. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Gollwitzer, P. M. (1996). The volitional benefits of planning. In P. M. Gollwitzer., J. A. Bargh (Eds.), The psychology of action. Linking cognition and motivation to behavior (pp. 287-312). New York: Guilford Press.
- Gollwitzer, P. M. (1999). Implementation intentions: Strong effects of simple plans. American Psychologist, 54, 493-503.
- Greve, W. (1992). Möglichkeiten und Grenzen einer psychologischen Theorie der Freiheit. Kritische Anmerkungen zu von Cranach (1991). [Reaches and bounds of a psychological theory of freedom: Critical remarks on von Cranach (1991)] Zeitschrift für Sozialpsychologie, 23, 277-286.
- Greve, W. (1996). Erkenne Dich selbst? Argumente zur Bedeutung der "Perspektive der ersten Person" [Know thyself? Arguments on the relevance of the first-person perspective], Sprache und Kognition, 15, 104-119.
- Greve, W. (2001). Traps and gaps in action explanation: Theoretical problems of a psychology of human action. Psychological Review, 108, 435-451.
- Hausdorff, J. M., Levy, B. R., & Wei, J. Y. (1999). The power of ageism or physical function of older persons: Reversibility of age-related gait changes. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 47, 1346-1349
- Heckhausen, J. (1997). Developmental regulation across adulthood: Primary and secondary control of age-related challenges. Developmental Psychology, 33, 176-187.
- Heckhausen, J. (1999). Developmental regulation in adulthood: Age-normative and sociostructural constraints as adaptive challenges. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Heckhausen, J., Dixon, R. A., & Baltes, P. B. (1989). Gains and losses in development throughout adulthood as perceived by different adult age groups. Developmental Psychology, 25, 109-121.
- Heckhausen, J. & Schulz, R. (1995). A life-span theory of control. Psychological Review, 102, 284-304. Higgins, E. T. (1997). Beyond pleasure and pain. American Psychologist, 52, 1280-1300.
- Higgins, E. T. (1998). Promotion and prevention: Regulatory focus as a motivational principle. Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 30, 1-46.
- Higgins, E. T., Klein, R., & Strauman, T. (1985). Self-concept discrepancy theory: A psychological model for distinguishing among different aspects of depression and anxiety. Social Cognition, 3, 51-
- Higgins, E. T., Roney, C. J. R., Crowe, E., & Hymes, C. (1994). Ideal versus ought predilections for approach and avoidance: Distinct self-regulatory systems. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 66, 276-286.
- Hobfoll, S. E. (1998). Stress, culture, and community: The psychology and philosophy of stress. New York: Plenum
- Hommel, B., Muesseler, J., Aschersleben, G., & Prinz, W. (2001). The Theory of Event Coding (TEC): A framework for perception and action planning. Behavioral & Brain Sciences, 24, 849-937.
- Hooker, K., & McAdams, D. P. (2003). Personality reconsidered: A new agenda for aging research. Journal of Gerontology: Psychological Sciences, 58B, 296-304.
- James, W. (1890). Principles of psychology (Vol.1). New York: Holt.
- Kahneman, D., Knetch, J., & Thaler, R. (1990). Experimental tests of the endowment effect and the coase theorem. Journal of Political Economy, 98, 1325-1348.
- Kahneman, D., Knetch, J., & Thaler, R. (1991). Anomalies: The endowment effect, loss aversion, and status quo bias. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 5, 193-206.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. Econometrica, 47, 263-291.
- Kawakami, K., Young, H., & Dovidio, J. F. (2002). Automatic stereotyping: Category, trait, and behavioral activations. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 28, 3-15.

- Labouvie-Vief, G. (1981). Proactive and reactive aspects of constructivism: Growth and aging in life-span perspective. In R. M. Lerner & N. A. Busch-Rossnagel (Eds.), *Individuals as producers of their development* (pp. 197-230). New York: Academic Press.
- Liberman, N., Idson, L. C., Camacho, C. J., & Higgins, E. T. (1999). Promotion and prevention choices between stability and change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 77, 1135-1145.
- Loewenstein, G., & Issacharoff, S. (1994). Source dependence in the valuation of objects. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 7, 157-168
- Lopes, L. L. (1981). Notes, comments, and new findings: Decision making in the short run. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning and Memory*, 7, 377-385.
- Ogilvie, D. M., Rose, K. M., & Heppen, J. B. (2001). A comparison of personal project motives in three age groups. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 23, 207-215.
- Pennington, G. L., & Roese, N. J. (2003). Regulatory focus and temporal perspective. Unpublished manuscript
- Riediger, M. (2001). On the dynamic relations among multiple goals: Intergoal conflict and intergoal facilitation in younger and older adulthood. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Free University, Berlin, Germany.
- Roney, C. J. R., Higgins, E. T., & Shah, J. (1995). Goals and framing: How outcome focus influences motivation and emotion. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 21, 1151-1160.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). The darker and brighter sides of human existence: Basic psychological needs as a unifying concept. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11, 319-338.
- Staudinger, U. M., Marsiske, M., & Baltes, P. B. (1995). Resilience and reserve capacity in later adult-hood: Potentials and limits of development across the life span. In D. Cicchetti & D. Cohen (Eds.), Developmental psychopathology (Vol. 2: Risk, disorder, and adaptation, pp. 801-847). New York: Wiley.
- Swann, W. B., Jr. (1990). To be adored or to be known. The interplay of self-enhancement and self-verification. In R. M. Sorrentino & E. T. Higgins (Eds.), *Motivation and cognition* (Vol. 2, pp. 408-448). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Trope, Y., & Liberman, N. (2003). Temporal construal. Psychological Review, 110, 403-421.
- von Cranach, M. (1991). Action freedom and determination as process and experience. Zeitschrift für Sozialpsychologie, 22, 4-24.
- Wegner, D. M. (2002). The illusion of conscious will. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press,
- Wheeler, S. C., & Petty, R. E. (2001). The effects of stereotype activation on behavior: A review of possible mechanisms. *Psychological Bulletin*, 127, 797-826.

THE UNKNOW THE SOCIAL OPERSPECTIVE

DIRK WENTURA Saarland University, Saarbrücke

In the field of psychiatry there is a mes" (see Simons & Hughes, 1985 appear to be rather bizarre if see include, for example, *koro*, a panic are terrified of a sudden death, for omen. Another example is *pa-leng* wind, which are believed to cause who are victims of this culture-bou clothes to evade such dire consequ

A third example is the *malgri* synington Island (in the North of Autween things having to do with the exhibit intense anxiety about being if a person enters the sea with some example, meat left-overs from a meaning to the sea with some example, meat left-overs from a meaning to the sea with some example, meat left-overs from a meaning to the sea with some example, meat left-overs from a meaning to the sea with some example, meat left-overs from a meaning to the sea with some example.